submitted by Respondent to the Board.

3. Effective June 16, 2009, the certificate was renewed through March 31, 2011.

and because the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not

- 3. Effective June 16, 2009, the certificate was renewed through March 31, 2011, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements.
- 4. The certificate expired and was not valid during the period April 1, 2011, through September 11, 2011, because the renewal fee required by Business and Professions Code Section 5070.5 was not paid and because the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was again not submitted by Respondent to the Board.
- 5. Effective September 12, 2011, the certificate was renewed through March 31, 2013, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements.
- 6. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), and Section 5109, does not deprive the CBA of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.
- 7. On or about July 30, 2012, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. AC-2012-45, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3, is required to be reported and maintained with the CBA. Respondent's address of record was and is: 4 Old Spire Drive, Ladera Ranch, CA 92694.
- 8. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 9. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

- 10. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-2012-45.
 - 11. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 12. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the CBA finds Respondent is in default. The CBA will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigative reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the CBA's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. AC-2012-45, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2012-45, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 13. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement of this matter is \$9,006.81 as of October 15, 2012.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent James F. Berger has subjected his Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 25991 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The California Board of Accountancy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Practice as Certified Public Accountant Without Valid License (Business and Professions Code sections 5100, subdivision (g), and 5050, subdivision (a));

28 | ///

26

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California James M. Ledakis: Supervising Deputy Attorney General Ron Espinoza Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 176908 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2100 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. AC-2012-45								
12 13	JAMES F. BERGER 4 Old Spire Drive Ladera Ranch, CA 92694 ACCUSATION								
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 25991								
15	Respondent.								
16									
17	Complainant alleges:								
18	<u>PARTIES</u>								
19	1. Patti Bowers (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as								
20	the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs.								
21	2. On or about May 19, 1978, the California Board of Accountancy (CBA or Board)								
22	issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number 25991 to James F. Berger (Respondent).								
23	The certificate expired and was not valid during the period April 1, 2009, through June 15, 2009,								
24	because the renewal fee required by Business and Professions Code Section 5070.5 was not paid								
25	and because the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was not								
26	submitted by Respondent to the Board.								
27	3. Effective June 16, 2009, the certificate was renewed through March 31, 2011, upon								
28	receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements.								

- 4. The certificate expired and was not valid during the period April 1, 2011, through September 11, 2011, because the renewal fee required by Business and Professions Code Section 5070.5 was not paid and because the declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements was again not submitted by Respondent to the Board.
- 5. Effective September 12, 2011, the certificate was renewed through March 31, 2013, upon receipt of the renewal fee and declaration of compliance with continuing education requirements.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 7. Section 5109 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a license, practice privilege, or other authority to practice public accountancy by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee, or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

- 8. Section 5050 of the Code states:
- (a) Except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c) of this section, in subdivision (a) of Section 5054, and in Section 5096.12, no person shall engage in the practice of public accountancy in this state unless the person is the holder of a valid permit to practice public accountancy issued by the board or a holder of a practice privilege pursuant to Article 5.1 (commencing with Section 5096).
- (b) Nothing in this chapter shall prohibit a certified public accountant, a public accountant, or a public accounting firm lawfully practicing in another state from temporarily practicing in this state incident to practice in another state, provided that an individual providing services under this subdivision may not solicit California clients, may not assert or imply that the individual is licensed to practice public accountancy in California, and may not engage in the development, implementation, or marketing to California consumers of any abusive tax avoidance transaction, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 19753 of the Revenue and Taxation Code. A firm providing services under this subdivision that is not registered to practice public accountancy in California may not solicit California clients, may not assert or imply that the firm is licensed to practice public accountancy in California, and may not engage in the development, implementation, or marketing to California consumers of any abusive tax avoidance transaction, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 19753 of the Revenue and Taxation Code. This subdivision shall become inoperative on January 1, 2011.

2.	California Code of	Regulations,	title	16,	section	87,	states,	in	relevant	part:
					. 6					
	(a) 80 Hours.									

As a condition for renewing a license in an active status, a licensee shall complete at least 80 hours of qualifying continuing education as described in Section 88 in the two-year period immediately preceding license expiration, and meet the reporting requirements described in Section 89(a). A licensee engaged in the practice of public accountancy as defined in Section 5051 of the Business and Professions Code is required to hold a license in an active status. No carryover of continuing education is permitted from one license renewal period to another.

(b) Ethics Continuing Education Requirement

A licensee renewing a license in an active status after December 31, 2009 shall complete four hours of the 80 hours of continuing education required pursuant to subsection (a) in course subject matter specified pertaining to the following: a review of nationally recognized codes of conduct emphasizing how the codes relate to professional responsibilities; case-based instruction focusing on real-life situational learning; ethical dilemmas facing the accounting profession; or business ethics, ethical sensitivity, and consumer expectations. Courses must be a minimum of one hour as described in Section 88.2.

(c) Government Auditing Continuing Education Requirement.

A licensee who engages in planning, directing, conducting substantial portions of field work, or reporting on financial or compliance audits of a governmental agency shall complete 24 of the 80 hours required pursuant to subsection (a) in the areas of governmental accounting, auditing or related subjects. This continuing education shall be completed in the same two-year license renewal period as the report is issued. A governmental agency is defined as any department, office, commission, authority, board, government-owned corporation, or other independent establishment of any branch of federal, state or local government. Related subjects are those which maintain or enhance the licensee's knowledge of governmental operations, laws, regulations or reports; any special requirements of governmental agencies; subjects related to the specific or unique environment in which the audited entity operates; and other auditing subjects which may be appropriate to government auditing engagements. A licensee who meets the requirements of this subsection shall be deemed to have met the requirements of subsection (d).

(e) A licensee who must complete continuing education pursuant to subsections (c) and/or (d) of this section shall also complete an additional eight hours of continuing education specifically related to the detection and/or reporting of fraud in financial statements. This continuing education shall be part of the 80 hours of continuing education required by subsection (a), but shall not be part of the continuing education required by subsections (c) or (d).

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Practice as Certified Public Accountant Without Valid License)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (g), in conjunction with Section 5050, subdivision (a), in that Respondent willfully practiced and held himself out as a Certified Public Accountant at a time when he failed to have a valid license. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. Respondent's license as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) expired on March 31, 2011, and was not renewed for five months until September 12, 2011. Although Respondent's license was expired and was not valid, Respondent submitted a tax return (Form 1040) on behalf of a client to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) on May 21, 2011, holding himself out as a CPA.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Misrepresentation of Material Fact on License Renewal)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (b), and Section 5100, subdivision (g), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 89, subdivision (k), in that he knowingly made a misrepresentation of material fact on his 2011 Certified Public Accountant License Renewal Application by stating, under penalty of perjury, that he had completed the required continuing education, when, in fact, he had not. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On his September 12, 2011, license renewal application, Respondent marked that he was renewing as an "active" licensee and that he had completed the required number of hours of continuing education. Respondent claimed on his renewal application that he had attended a Regulatory Review course on May 25, 2010, with continuing education provider Financial Education Resources (FER). However, after reviewing its records, FER confirmed that although enrolled, Respondent never attended the class.
- B. Respondent stated on his 2011 license renewal application that he had attended fraud continuing education courses with FER. However, after reviewing its records, FER confirmed that Respondent had never enrolled in any of its fraud continuing education courses.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Complete Continuing Education Requirements)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (g), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 87 and 87.8, in that Respondent failed to complete continuing education requirements for his license renewal. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. For his 2011 license renewal period, Respondent was required to complete 80 hours of continuing education. Within the 80 hours, Respondent was required to complete 24 hours in governmental accounting, auditing or related subjects, and four hours of ethics. Respondent was also required to complete eight hours related to the detection and/or reporting of fraud in financial statements, and a two-hour Regulatory Review course. However, after review of the certificates of completion provided by Respondent for his continuing education, as well as contacting his continuing education provider, it was found that Respondent was deficient two hours of governmental auditing, six hours of fraud, four hours of ethics, and two hours of regulatory review continuing education.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Respond to Board Inquiry)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (g), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 52, subdivision (a), for failing to respond to the Board's inquiry regarding completion of his continuing education requirements. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On or about January 18, 2012, the Board sent Respondent an inquiry letter requesting information and responses to questions regarding allegations that Respondent failed to attend certain continuing education courses as claimed. The Board's inquiry letter requested a response from Respondent within 30 days. No response was ever received from Respondent.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number 25991 issued to James F. Berger;
- Ordering James F. Berger to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions
 Code section 5107;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JULY 24, 7012

PATTI BOWERS'

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2012703216 accusation.rtf